CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AGENCY FOR PLANNING

233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Chicago, Illinois 60606 312/454-0400 Fax 312/454-0411

Water Quality Management Plan Amendment Application

Completion of the attached application form is required for the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) to initiate its advisory water quality amendment review.

Amendment requests for the construction of new, or the expansion of existing wastewater treatments facilities (including land treatment systems), require completion of Sections A, B, D, E and F.

Amendment requests for the creation and/or modification of a facility planning area boundary require completion of Sections A, C, D, E and F.

All required sections and questions must be answered by the applicant. Incomplete applications will be returned to the applicant and will delay initiation of CMAP's advisory water quality amendment review.

Information regarding water quality amendment requests that require a concomitant amendment of other CMAP plans and policies (i.e., agricultural protection areas, population and household forecasts), and information on CMAP's review criteria can be found in "Water Quality Management Plan Amendment Process and Procedures" available from CMAP's offices.

Three copies of the completed amendment application should be submitted to:

Wastewater Committee c/o Programming Department Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Section A To be completed by all applicants seeking amendment of the Illinois Water Quality Management Plan.

This amendment request is filed pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's Procedures and Requirements in effect at this time for revising water quality management plans. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application and, to the best of my knowledge, such information is true, complete and accurate. I further certify that I possess the authority to request and submit an amendment petition.

Printe	d Name of Petitioner	
		Signature of Petitioner
	Date	
		applicant entity formally endorsed ality amendment application?
es	If Yes, attach resolution, mir	nutes or other documentation of action.
o	If No, indicate when Board a	ction is scheduled to occur.

Revised 6-7-07 – Page 2 of 23

Petitio	oner's Authorized Agent and Contac	ct Persoi	1:	
Name				
Title				
Entity				
Addre	ss			
City		State _	Zip	
Phone)	Fax		
Туре	of Amendment Requested (check a	ll that ap	ply)	
	New Treatment Facility/Discharge		_ FPA Boundary Change	
	Expanded Plant Capacity		FPA Creation	
	Dissolution of FPA		_ Land Treatment System	
	FPA Name Change		_ Plant Phase-Out	
	y all facility planning areas within 1	½ miles	of requested amendment	area and/or

Section B

To be completed for all amendment requests involving the proposed construction of a new wastewater or land treatment facility and/or expansion (capacity modification) of an existing treatment facility.

Provide a summary of, and	the need for, the req	quested amendment. NOTE : Ar
amendment application invol	lving 1) a substantial ch	nange in a facility planning area,
100 or more acres, and/or 2)	a new or expanded tre	eatment facility, involving a
capacity increase of 0.25 mg	gd or 10 percent, should	d be accompanied by an up-to-
date facility plan.		
		·····
		
Facility Information		
Name:		
		Other
Owner's Name:		
Operator:		
Location:		
City/Township/County:		
Treatment Processes		
A. Describe the wastewa	ater treatment processe	es to be used.

B. <u>For discharges</u>	to high quality and/or low fl	ow water bodies.
Will the facility incorpo	orate design components wh	hich maximize treatment reliat
including process unit	s in parallel which provide t	reatment redundancy in the ev
main system malfunct	ion or failure; effluent polish	ning lagoons incorporating wet
vegetation; and a pref	erence for high-reliability te	chnologies? Please describe
Treatment Capacitie	s	
Design Flows:		d flows
Average Daily Flow:		
Maximum Daily Flow:	_	Proposedmgd
•	&	· —— •
Actual Flows (based of	on most recent annual expe	rience): Year
Measured average da	ily flow: mgd	
Flow Components*	a. Average Sanitary	mgd
	b. Average Infiltration _	mgd
	c. Average Inflow	mgd
	*a+b+c = average daily flow	V
Receiving Waters Na	ame and location:	
Type: Stream	Lake Well Injection	n Other
	oe:	
Estimate of average a	nnual upstream flow and 7-	day, 10-year low flow of ident
receiving stream:	cfs	
_		

6.	Discharge Loca	tion: Not	Applicable			
	Latitude:	Deg	rees	_Minutes	Seconds	
	Longitude:	Deg	rees	_Minutes	Seconds	
7.	Area to Be Serv	ed: Provid	de a map of the	e existing an	d proposed service area for	the
	new/expanded tr	eatment f	acility at a scale	e of 1 inch =	2,000 feet.	
	Existing Service	area:	Total acres		_ Population	
			Households		_ Total PE	
	Proposed Service	e Area:	Total acres		_ Population	
			Households		_ Total PE	
			By Year:			
8.	served by the ne	w and/or	expanded treat	ment facility.	ed development that will be (For each development,	, of
		_			oper name, number and mix For non-residential	of
	development pro	vide expe	cted number of	f employees	Please indicate project stat	us
	(i.e., planned, ar	nexed, zo	ned, under cor	nstruction, co	onstruction completed, etc.).	
	Attach additional	sheets as	s needed.			

Permits/Variances NPDES Number: _			(Attach Copy)
NPDES Permit applied for: No	Yes	Date applied for	or:
Land Application Construction Permit N	umber:		(Attach Copy)
Land Application Permit applied for: No	Yes _	Date applie	ed for:
Has or will a variance from IEPA/IPCB	Standards be	e required for the	operation of the
proposed facility? No Yes	_ If yes, de	scribe:	
If the proposal is for a privately owner size, location and distance to neares sewer and treatment facility.	t existing pu	ublicly owned w	astewater
Provide the following information recomposed facility modification/creation		anticipated cos	ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation	on.	·	ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation	on. \$	· 	ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant	on.	· 	ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities	\$\$ \$\$	· 	ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations	\$\$ \$\$		ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations Interceptor Sewers	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$		ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations Interceptor Sewers Collection Sewers	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$		ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations Interceptor Sewers Collection Sewers On-site and Alternative Systems	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$		ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations Interceptor Sewers Collection Sewers On-site and Alternative Systems Land Acquisition	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		ts for the
proposed facility modification/creation Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Handling Facilities Pump Stations Interceptor Sewers Collection Sewers On-site and Alternative Systems Land Acquisition Inspection/Construction Management	\$ \$		ts for the

	for the proposed facility modification/cr	reation.
	Labor	\$
	Utilities	\$
	Materials	\$
	Outside Services	\$
	Equipment Replacement	\$
	Miscellaneous	\$
	Total Estimated Annual O,M & R Costs	\$
13.	Uniform Annual Equivalent Cost:	\$
14.	Describe how the proposed facility mod	dification/creation will be financed.
	Local Developer Contribution	\$
	IEPA Revolving Loan	\$
	General Obligation Bond	\$
	Revenue Bond	\$
	Special Taxing District	\$
	User Charges/Fees	\$
	Private Utility-Capital Funds	\$
	Other IEPA Funding	\$
	Other State Funding	\$
	Other Grants/Loans/Fees:	
		\$
		\$
	Total Anticipated Financing	\$

Provide an estimate of annual operation, maintenance and replacement costs

12.

	e any and all s				
treatme	nt to the reque	ested amendı	nent. Attach	n additional sl	neets as need
					·
How wa	s cost effectiv	reness of the	selected alt	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
	as cost effectiv		selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta
			selected alto	ernative dete	ermined? Atta

¹ Anticipated environmental impacts associated with each alternative should also be presented for water quality (surface and groundwater), wetlands, floodplains, prime agricultural lands, fish and wildlife, and endangered and threatened species. Options for alternative discharge locations (e.g., to receiving waters with greater dilution rations) should also be discussed.

Section C

To be completed for all amendment requests seeking facility planning area (FPA) creation and/or facility planning area boundary modification.

Prov	ide a summary of and the need for the requested amendment.
NOT	E: Any amendment application involving 1) a substantial change in a facility
plann	ing area, 100 or more acres, and or 2) a new or expanded treatment facility,
involv	ring a capacity increase of 0.25 mgd or 10 percent, should be accompanied by
an up	o-to-date facility plan.
Geop	physical Information
Provi	de the following information regarding the amendment area.
a.	Size: Requested Amendment Area (in acres)
	Attach a black-and-white map that clearly delineates the existing facility
	planning area and the amendment area at a scale of 1" = 2000'.
h	Location: County

A legal description of the existing facility planning area must be included.

A legal description of the area requested must also be included.

Township(s)

Section(s)

c. d.

Revised 6-7-07 – Page 10 of 23

3.	Current FPA Status			
	Is the amendment area currently par	t of an existing fac	ility planning are	ea?
	No Yes If yes, provide t	the name(s) of the	FPA(s)	
4.	Population and Household Foreca	sts		
	Provide an assessment of existing a	nd proposed popul	ation of the req	uested area,
	and resultant waste loads and waste	water flows.		
	PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR THE	Current	Forec	asted
R	EQUESTED AMENDMENT AREA	Guirent	Number	By Year?
Popi	ulation			
Num	ber of Households			
Resi	dential Population Equivalent (PE)			
Emp	loyment			
Emp	loyment Population Equivalent (PE)			
Tota	l Population Equivalent (PE)			
Daily	Average Wastewater Flow	Current	Forecasted	By Year?
С	Oomestic			
Ir	ndustrial			
Т	otal			
planr	E: The proposed amendment must not en ning period set forth in the currently appro ne facility planning area. CMAP may agre	ved facility plan or th	ne CMAP twenty-	year forecasts
5.	Amendment Area Land Uses			
	a. Current Zoning of Amendmen	t Area:		
	b. Date Zoning Received:			
	c. Zoning Body:			

	d.	Development(s): Describe current and proposed land use of the amendment area including, if available, project name, developer name, number and mix of units for residential developments, and total acreage. For non-residential development provide expected number of employees. Please indicate project status (i.e., planned, annexed, zoned, under construction, construction completed, etc.). Attach additional sheets as needed.
6.	Was	tewater Treatment
	a.	Describe and map any existing wastewater sewer/interceptor and/or treatment facility located within or adjacent to the subject amendment area. Attach additional sheets as needed.
	b.	How will wastewater services be provided to/connected to the subject amendment area? Include map showing route of interceptors and sewers, pump stations or gravity flow, treatment plant, etc. Attach additional sheets as needed.

addition	al sheets as needed.)
	ent the cost effectiveness of the wastewater alternatives identifier 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected altern
Numbe highligl	
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but o, sewers and interceptors, pumping stations, and treatment facilities.)
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but o, sewers and interceptors, pumping stations, and treatment facilities.)
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but o, sewers and interceptors, pumping stations, and treatment facilities.)
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but o, sewers and interceptors, pumping stations, and treatment facilities.)
Number highlight limited to	r 7 above. Provide a side-by-side analysis with the selected alternated. (Describe costs for all relevant system components including, but o, sewers and interceptors, pumping stations, and treatment facilities.)

² Anticipated environmental impacts associated with each alternative should also be presented for water quality (surface and groundwater), wetlands, floodplains, prime agricultural lands, fish and wildlife, and endangered and threatened species. Options for alternative discharge locations (e.g., to receiving waters with greater dilution rations) should also be discussed.

	-
cost?	
Treatment Facilities	\$
Pumping Stations	\$
Interceptor Sewers	\$
Collection Sewers	\$
Land Acquisition	\$
Inspection/Construction Management	\$
Project Management/Design	\$
Operation and Maintenance	\$
Other	\$
Other	\$
Estimated Total Cost to provide service:	\$
Uniform Annual Equivalent Cost:	\$
Additional Comments:	
What will be the per household user costs?	
What will be the per household user costs? Connection Fee	\$
-	
Connection Fee	\$
Connection Fee Monthly Service Fee	\$ \$
Connection Fee Monthly Service Fee Other	\$ \$ \$
Connection Fee Monthly Service Fee Other Other	\$ \$ \$ \$
Connection Fee Monthly Service Fee Other Other Other	\$ \$ \$ \$

Section D To be completed for all amendment requests

Provide the following information regarding the environmental characteristic of the subject amendment area.			
a.	Surface Water bodies (streams, lakes): Attach Map None		
b.	Wetlands: Map Attached Not Applicable (none)		
	(If applicable, attach map at scale of 1 inch - 2,000 feet, giving size and		
	location of any identified wetlands located in or immediately adjacent to		
	subject amendment area.)		
C.	Floodplains: Map Attached Not Applicable (none)		
	(If applicable, attach map at scale of 1 inch - 2,000 feet, giving size and		
	location of all IDOT/DRW - Federal Emergency Management Agency		
	regulatory floodplains located in or immediately adjacent to subject		
	amendment area.		
d.	Other: Map Attached Not Applicable (none)		
	(If applicable, attach map at scale of 1 inch = 2,000 feet identifying any		
	aquifer, groundwater recharge area and/or state designated natural area		
	located on or immediately adjacent to subject amendment area.)		
Will	amendment include, require or result in modification (i.e., filling,		
dred	lging, channelization, disposal or similar activity) of any lake, stream,		
wet	and or floodplain area? No Yes (If yes, describe.)		

Section E

Facility Planning Area Nonpoint Source Management To be completed for all amendment requests.

To ensure consistency with the nonpoint source management policies and objectives of the Illinois Water Quality Management Plan, IEPA and CMAP recommend local adoption of ordinances or regulations which provide for water quality protection which is comparable to the objectives and standards of the following former NIPC model ordinances: 1) Model Stormwater Drainage and Detention Ordinance (as amended July 1994); 2) Model Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Ordinance (1991); 3) Model Flood Plain Ordinance (1989); and 4) Model Stream and Wetland Protection Ordinance for the Creation of a Lowland Conservancy Overlay District (1988). The following checklist provides guidance to applicants regarding important provisions and standards which CMAP recommends for inclusion in local ordinances. To aid in drafting of appropriate ordinance language, recommended sections from the relevant CMAP model ordinances are listed in parentheses.

1. Stormwater Management

	_			
a.	Is the amendment area and/or facility planning area subject to a municipal			
	and/or county stormwater drainage and detention ordinance?			
	Yes No Unknown			
	If yes, give name(s) of municipality(s) or county(s), attach copy			
b.	Does the applicable stormwater management ordinance:			
	 Include control of runoff volume, rate, and quality in the purpose 			
	statement? (Section 100.0) Yes No			
	 Promote the use of natural drainage practices (e.g., swales, filter strips, 			
	infiltration devices, and natural depressions over storm sewers to minimize			
	runoff volumes and enhance pollutant filtering? (Sections 500.0 and 711)			
	Yes No			
	 Require that peak post-development discharge from events less than or 			
	equal to the two-year, 24-hour event be limited to 0.04 cfs per acre of			
	watershed? (Section 701.0) Yes No			
	If no, what does it require for storms smaller than the 100-year event?			

		Require detention design standards which maximize water quality
		mitigation benefits, with a preference for wet bottom and/or wetland basins
		over dry basins? (Sections 600.0, 705, and 706) Yes No
		 Prohibit detention in the floodway? (Section 708.2) Yes No
		 Prohibit on-stream detention, unless it provides regional stormwater
		storage and is accompanied by other water quality BMPs upstream?
		(Section 708.3) Yes No
		Prohibit the direct discharge of undetained stormwater into wetlands?
		(Section 709) Yes No
		 Require formal maintenance contracts for new detention facilities?
		(Sections 713.0 and 1100.0) Yes No
2.	Soil F	Erosion and Sediment Control
۷.	a.	Is the affected area/facility planning area subject to a municipal and/or county
	a.	soil erosion and sediment control ordinance?
		Yes No Unknown
		If yes, give name(s) of municipality(s) or county(s) and attach copy.
		if yes, give name(s) of municipality(s) of county(s) and attach copy.
	b.	Does the applicable soil erosion and sediment control ordinance:
		 Include a comprehensive purpose statement which limits sediment
		delivery, as close as practicable, to pre-disturbance levels and minimizes
		effects on water quality, flooding, and nuisances? (Section 100)
		Yes No
		 Include a comprehensive set of principles which minimize sediment
		transport from the site for all storms up to the ten-year frequency event?
		Yes No
		(These principles should include provisions to minimize the area disturbed
		and the time of disturbance, follow natural contours, avoid sensitive areas,
		require that sediment control measures be in place as part of land
		development process before significant grading or disturbance is allowed,
		and require the early implementation of soil stabilization measures on

		 Require ordinance applicability for any land disturbing activity in excess of
		5,000 square feet, or 500 square feet if adjacent to stream, lake, or
		wetland? (Section 400)
		Yes No Other (Describe)
		 Include explicit site design requirements for sediment control measures,
		conveyance channels, soil stabilization, construction adjacent to water
		bodies, construction entrances, etc.? (Section 503)
		Yes No
		Adopt by reference the "Illinois Urban Manual" published by the Natural
		Resources Conservation Service and the Illinois Environmental Protection
		Agency in 1995 and the "Illinois Procedures and Standards for Urban Soil
		Erosion and Sedimentation Control" published in 1988 (the Greenbook)?
		(Section 504)
		Yes No
		Require routine maintenance of all erosion and sediment control Practices
		(Section 505)
		Yes No
		 Require inspection by appropriately trained personnel of construction sites
		at critical points in the development process to ensure that measures are
		being correctly installed and maintained? (Section 506)
		Yes No
		 Provide effective enforcement mechanisms including performance bonds,
		stop-work orders, and penalties, as appropriate? (Sections 405, 602, 603)
		Yes No
3.	Floo	dplain Management
	a.	Is the affected area/facility planning area subject to a municipal and/or county
		floodplain management ordinance?
		Yes No Unknown
		If yes, give name(s) of municipality(s) or county(s) and attach copy.

disturbed areas - Section 300).

b.	Does the applicable floodplain management ordinance:
	 Include protection of hydrologic functions, water quality, aquatic habitat,
	recreation, and aesthetics in the purposes for the ordinance? (Section 200
	Yes No
	 Restrict modifications in the floodway to the following appropriate uses:
	public flood control projects, public recreation and open space uses, water
	dependent activities, and crossing roadways and bridges?
	Yes No
	(The ordinance should thereby prohibit new treatment plants and pumping
	facilities; detached garages, sheds, and other non-habitable structures;
	parking lots and aircraft parking aprons; and roadways which run
	longitudinally along a watercourse.) (Section 802.0)
	 Discourage stream channel modification and require mitigation of
	unavoidable adverse water quality and aquatic habitat impacts? (Sections
	801.1.q and 802.1.i)
	Yes No
	 Discourage onstream impoundments unless public interest and
	environmental mitigation criteria are met? (Section 802.1.f)
	Yes No
	 Require effective soil erosion and sediment control measures for all
	disturbances in the floodway? (Section 802.1.k)
	Yes No
	 Require protection of a minimum 25 foot native vegetation buffer along
	the channel? (Section 802.1.p)
	Yes No
Stre	am and Wetland Protection
a.	Is the affected area/facility planning area subject to a municipal and/or county
	stream and wetland protection ordinance?
	Yes No Unknown
	If yes, give name(s) of municipality(s) or county(s) and attach copy

4.

b.	Do	pes the applicable stream and wetland protection ordinance:
	•	Include a comprehensive purpose statement which addresses the
		protection of hydrologic and hydraulic, water quality, habitat, aesthetic, and
		social and economic values and functions of wetlands? (Section 3.00) Yes
		No
	•	Protect the beneficial functions of streams, lakes, and wetlands from
		damaging modifications, including filling, draining, excavating, damming,
		impoundment, and vegetation removal? (Sections 6.03 and 4.00.h -
		"development")
		Yes No
	-	Prohibit the modification of high quality, irreplaceable wetlands, lakes, and
		stream corridors?
		Yes No
	•	Discourage the modification of wetlands for stormwater management
		purposes unless the wetland is severely degraded and nonpoint source
		BMPs are implemented on the adjacent development? (Section 6.03)
		Yes No
	•	Designate a minimum 75 foot setback zone from the edge of identified
		wetlands and water bodies in which development is limited to the following
		types of activities: minor improvements like walkways and signs,
		maintenance of highways and utilities, and park and recreational area
		development? (Section 6.03)
		Yes No
	•	Establish a minimum 25-foot wide protected native vegetation buffer strip
		along the edge of identified wetlands and water bodies. (Section 6.08) Yes
		No
	•	Prohibit watercourse relocation or modification except to remedy existing
		erosion problems, restore natural conditions, or to accommodate
		necessary utility crossings; and require mitigation of unavoidable adverse
		water quality and aquatic habitat impacts? (Sections 7.00, 7.01, and 7.02)
		Yes No

•	Discourage the armoring of channels and banks unless natural vegetation
	and gradual bank sloping are inadequate to prevent severe erosion?
	(Section 7.03)
	Yes No
•	Discourage culvert crossings of streams unless necessary for allowing
	access to a property? (Section 7.04)
	Yes No
•	Discourage onstream impoundments unless public interest and
	environmental mitigation criteria are met? (Section 7.05)
	Yes No
•	Require adequate mitigation measures for approved wetland and water
	body modifications, including 1.5 to 1 acreage replacement for destroyed
	wetlands, maintenance and monitoring for at least 5 years, and full
	restoration of natural wetland or waterbody functions?
	Yes No

Section F

Criteria for Facility Amendments to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan for Northeastern Illinois

Staff review and subsequent Wastewater Committee consideration of all amendment requests are based on the "recommended Criteria for Facilities Amendments to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan for Northeastern Illinois." These criteria delineate five conditions which must be addressed as necessary prerequisites for any amendment and an additional four conditions also of concern to CMAP.

Applicants are urged to provide any additional comments or to highlight any portion of their amendment application that relates to these criteria.

- Any proposed facility amendment must be designed to meet State of Illinois water quality standards for the receiving waters and the appropriate discharge standards, or must receive a variance from the Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- 2. The population and employment for which the proposed amendment is designed must fall within the twenty-year forecasts for the facility planning area most recently adopted by CMAP, or CMAP may agree to adjustments within the regional forecast total.
- 3. The applicant must demonstrate that the unit of local government granting zoning to the project has formally accepted financial responsibility for the wastewater treatment system in event of system malfunction or failure. Such acceptance must be in the form of a resolution from the unit of local government granting zoning.
- 4. The proposed amendment should not reduce the effectiveness of the water quality improvement strategy contained in the original plan, for either point or nonpoint source control.
- 5. The proposed amendment should not adversely affect the cost effectiveness of the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan for meeting water quality standards in the facility planning area as a whole.
- 6. The proposed amendment should have the endorsement of the designated

- management agency for wastewater treatment and substantial support by the municipalities within the affected facility planning area.
- 7. The proposed amendment should not adversely affect adjoining units of government.
- 8. The proposed amendment should be consistent with other county and regional plans or state policies, such as the preservation of agricultural land. (If the proposal would require the amendment of another regional plan, CMAP will consider the wisdom of that amendment at the same time.)
- Consideration will be given to evidence of municipal or county zoning approval and commencement of development activity prior to <u>Areawide Water Quality</u> <u>Management Plan</u> adoption in January, 1979.

N:\nrd\Water Resources\FPA Amendment Application\Current Application\Wqapp - CMAP 6-7-07.doc
